# SYSTEMATIC CONFORMATIONAL ANALYSIS. A MICROCOMPUTER METHOD FOR THE SEMIOUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF POLYCYCLIC SYSTEMS CONTAINING FIVE-, SIX- AND SEVEN-MEMBERED RINGS. 2. SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS.

P.J. De Clercq'

State University of Chent, Department of Organic Chemistry, Laboratory for Organic Synthesis, Krijgslaan, 281  $(S.4)$ , B-9000 GENT (Belgium)

(Received in UK 3 January 1984)

Abstract - Several applications of the previously described microcomputer program for systematic conformational analysis of polycyclic systems (five-, six- and seven-membered rings) are discussed. Examples are given in relation to the prediction of the stereochemical outcome of a steric approach controlled reaction, to the prediction of the composition of isomerization equilibria and to the qualitative evaluation of strain in particular pretransitionstate geometries. Implications of the produced geometric and energetic (with focus on entropy) information are further discussed.

For the solution of a stereochemical problem, especially when cyclic compounds are involved, the organic chemist will turn, more often than not, to an inspection of molecular models. However, results drawn from such inspections are essentially of a qualitative nature and, moreover, often dependent on the examiner. Consequently, there is a need to perform model examinations in a systematic and quantitative way. With this goal in mind a computer program was developed for the systematic conformational analysis (SCA) of polycyclic systems containing five-, six- and seven-membered rings. Since many synthetic laboratories do not have routine access to large computer facilities, which are needed for the application of strain-energy minimization techniques, the program was designed for operation on inexpensive microcomputer configurations. In a preceding paper the principle of the method and the characteristics of the program were described. Starting from structural information that is directly related to the two-dimensional diagram of the molecule the program yields the following information. (1) The set of all geometrically possible conformations of each cycle in the system. (2) The set of preferred full conformations of the molecule with the corresponding conformer population distribution. (3) Relative enthalpy, entropy and free energy terms for the product.

In the present paper the scope and limitations of the method are described. In the first part typical synthetic problems are dealt with. Next attention is paid to the geometrical information that is provided by the program. Finally the computed energy terms are discussed with special focus on the calculated entropy.

#### SYNTHETIC APPLICATIONS

First a typical example of how the SCA program can help to understand equilibria is discussed. In relation to a recent synthesis of 11-keto steroids a series of experimental observations were made which are illustrated in scheme  $1^{2,3}$ . (1) Enone 1 leads predominantly to either one of two Diels-Alder adducts depending on the reaction conditions : in methylene chloride at room tempera-

Research Associate (Bevoegdverklaard Navorser) of the National Fund for Scientific Research, Belgium.





ture a 8:1 ratio of  $2$  and  $3$  is obtained (6 days; 61 % conversion), while in benzene at 80°C the same adducts are formed in a ratio of 1:9 (6 days; 50 % conversion), respectively. In the latter case a true equilibrium is involved since the same ratios are obtained when either pure 2 or 3 are subjected to the same conditions. (2) The oxygen bridge in the reduced adduct  $\frac{1}{2}$  is readily opened (sodium methoxide, room temperature, 2 h), leading to the expected enone 6. Isomer 7, however, is unaffected by the same basic conditions; reflux for 4 h is necessary to effect bridge opening. (3) Under these harsher conditions concomitant epimerization occurs at C-14 leading to enone 9 with a cis-fused CD-ring system<sup>4</sup>. A rationale for the above observations is readily provided by the program. (1) In line with the preferred formation of adduct 3 under thermodynamic conditions the program yields a  $\Delta G^*$  -13 kJ/mol for the equilibrium  $2 \div 3$  (1 cal = 4.186 J). (2) Since the bridge openings in 4 and 7 must proceed via the corresponding enolate anions  $5$  and  $8$ , it is essential to compare the relative energies of the latter in order to evaluate the activation energies of both endothermic processes. The program finds enolate  $\underline{8}$  to have a conformational energy substantially larger (25 kJ/mol) than isomer 5. Accordingly, the process, which converts the more stable isomer  $\frac{7}{2}$  (compared to  $\frac{7}{2}$ ) to the less stable enolate anion  $\frac{8}{2}$  (compared to  $\frac{5}{2}$ ), is expected to have a high activation energy. (3) The obtention of C-14 isomerized product under the more drastic conditions (i.e.,  $\frac{7}{2}$  + 9) is also not surprising in view of a calculated  $\Delta G^*$  -13 kJ/mol for the equilibrium 5-epi- $6 \div 9$ . The computed energy value is also quantitatively in accord with previous data for this type of isomerization<sup>2</sup>.

The tentative prediction of the stereochemical outcome of steric approach controlled reactions is a common problem in synthetic chemistry. More often than not the organic chemist will approach this problem through an inspection of a molecular model. Unfortunately, however, the mere manipulation of a model will usually not reveal what he is looking for, namely the lowest-energy conformation of the product. This is especially true when dealing with flexible or conformationally unfamiliar cyclic systems. The following case exemplifies the potential use of the program in this type of problem. In connection with the total synthesis of helenanolides Grieco reported the exclusive formation of the a-riented alcohol lia upon lithium aluminumhydride reduction of enone  $10a^6$ . A similar observation was independently made in this laboratory: diisobutylaluminumhydride reduction of  $\frac{10b}{10b}$  gives  $\frac{11b}{10}$  with complete stereoselectivity (scheme 2)<sup>7</sup>. Surprisingly, the



reduction of the corresponding epoxide  $12$  with sodium borohydride yields exclusively alcohol 13 with epimeric configuration at  $c-8^6$ . The preferred geometry of 10 and 12 as derived by the program corresponds to a conformational mixture with the seven-membered ring in a twist-envelope (10-/TE; 90 % populated) and chair (10+/C; 10 % populated) conformation. Molecular model examination of both conformations clearly shows 8-attack to be preferred on the TE form (steric hindrance by aoriented H-1 and CH<sub>3</sub>-10) and a-attack on the C form. From the individual endocyclic torsion angles of both conformations, which are shown in the program output, one may also deduce that some degree of conjugation in the  $a_1\beta$ -unsaturated system of enones  $\underline{10}$  is possible in the TE conformation, but not in the chair form:  $\tau_{7,8}$  = 28° for 10-/TE and  $\tau_{7,8}$  = -61° for 10+/C. Consequently, under steric control the reduction of enones 10 should yield the a-configuration of the alcohol at C-8. The opposite stereoselectivity found for the reduction of epoxide 12 could be due to a chelation effect involving the epoxide oxygen atom. The above observation has been rationalized by Toromanoff using the concept of dynamic stereochemistry<sup>8</sup>. Here it is assumed that hydride addition to unsaturated ketones takes place in the axial direction on the reactive conformation of the enone. This in turn implies that for enones 10 chair conformations are involved, and for epoxide  $12$  a twist-envelope form, in contrast with the steric approach rationale. Whatever the true explanation might be it should be emphasized that the program is ideally suited for generating the initial (reactive) conformations of a substrate which are needed for applying the dynamic analysis of conformational intermediates.

Although the program only provides information about the geometry and energy of ground state conformations it may help to evaluate the strain in cyclic systems which need to adopt a particular geometry for further reaction. Since its recent discovery quadrone (14) has been a favorite target for synthetic chemists<sup>9</sup>. An attractive but eventually unsuccessful approach has involved bond formation between C-1 and 2 via intramolecular reaction (scheme 3). Depending on the proposed route Z represents a diene (Diels-Alder reaction)<sup>9</sup>, an olefin (ene reaction)<sup>10</sup>, an allene (photocycloaddition)<sup>10</sup> or a nucleophile (Michael reaction)<sup>10</sup>. In every case a bicyclo 3.2.1 octane system (BC,  $16$ ) must be formed at the convex side of the diquinane system. Therefore the side-chain at  $C-3$  must adopt an orientation as shown in  $\underline{15}$ , resulting in a pseudo-bridged cyclic system with  $sp^2$ -hybridized C-1. It is now possible to evaluate qualitatively the torsion constraint in the five-membered ring B in this specific pre-transition-state geometry<sup>11</sup>. Therefore bond 1,2 is regarded as a fusion bond with the cyclopentenone ring A and bond 2,3 as a bridged

### 3732 **P. J. DE CLERCQ**

bond (part **of** six-membered C ring). Provided this input the program does not deduce any geometrically viable conformation **for** ring A. tiote that the program may consider up to one hundred different five-membered ring conformations, including maximally puckered (and strained) forms. This result indicates a very strained geometrical situation that should be difficult to realize in practice. fhe synthetic chemist, **therefore,** should be prepared for failure, or at least serious trouble, when attempting the reaction.

#### GEOMETRIC AND ENERGETIC IMPLICATIONS

When a polycyclic molecule is analyzed. the **program first** deduces. for each ring separately, a set of geometrically possible conformations. For each form a conformational energy value is cowuced. With regard to the conformation of the full molecule, the program examines possible combinations among the deduced forms for each ring. Combinations which are less than 10 kJ/mol higher in energy than the preferred one are eventually shown; these represent the conformations which are likely to be populated. We will briefly discuss here the case of vernolepin  $(17)$ . Diagram <u>17a</u> shows the endocyclic torsion angles derived by X-ray diffraction<sup>12</sup>. Diagram <u>17b</u> repre sents the preferred conformation as deduced by the program (41 % populated). Its geometry corresponds to an envelope conformation for the six-membered lactone ring A (1-/E), a distorted chair form **for** ring **B** (lO\*/Cdm) and a twist conformation for the five-membered ring C (12\*/T).



**The calculated** standard deviations for the three conformations with **respect co** the **X-ray geometry**  are 10, 13 and 2, respectively. Other populated conformations represent seven combinations among half-chair (6\*/K, **l-/K) and envelope (l-/E) forms** for ring A, distorted **chair conformations**  (10+/Cdm, 9-/Cdm) for ring B, and two twist conformations (12+/T) with a different puckering de**gree for** ring C. Geometrically there is not much difference between the 6+/W. l-/E and l-/K forms. the lO\*/Cdm and 9-/Cdm are also very similar. Although the program considers each combination to be an effective minimum energy conformation, the result should be rather interpreted as revealing a picture **of** the same minimum energy well (vide infra). It should be noted here that. although the program is designed for the analysis **of** carbocycler, some heterocyclic rings as lactones can also be examined. In the vernolepin case the bonds being part **of** the carboxyl group  $(4,5 \text{ and } 12,13)$  and of the <sup>1-</sup>conjugated enone system  $(3,4 \text{ and } 11,12)$  were imposed a torsion constraint<sup>1</sup> in order to account for electron delocalization ( $z_{min} = -15^{\circ}$ ,  $z_{max} = +15^{\circ}$ )

Next to a symbolic qualitative description, the deduced conformations are further defined by the inclusion of an internal coordinate, i.e., the individual endocyclic torsion angles, and of a puckering coordinate for each cycle, i.e., the phase angle. The latter is a parameter which helps to understand the conformational behavior of a cycle. The case of cyclopentanediol 18 illustrates thin aepect. Five-membered **ring compounds do not necessarily occur in well-defined. single syametric conformstione but more often than not in a multitude of interoediate ones. Cyclopentane it-13 self is characterized by full pseudorotation** . **Following Altonn the torsion angles of all con**formers that are part of the same pseudorotation circuit (constant  $\partial$ <sub>1</sub>) are described by eq  $1^{14}$ . The different conformers - an infinite **number for** uneubstitured cyclopentane - are generated by varying  $\psi$ , the phase angle of pseudorotation. The symmetrical  $\underline{C}_2$  (Twist) and  $\underline{C}_4$  (Envelope) forms that are considered by the program, are generated for discrete values of  $\dot{v}$ , i.e., 0°, 36°, ... and 18°, 54°, ... respectively. So, for a given value of  $\hat{\tau}_{m}$  twenty symmetrical forms are obtained.

$$
\phi_{i} = \phi_{m} \cos (\psi + 4\pi (j-1)/5) ; j = 1, 2, \ldots 5 ; 0^{\circ} \in \psi < 360^{\circ}
$$
 (1)

Figure 1. Energy profile for 18



For substituted cyclopentane derivatives there is a barrier to pseudorotation. For each deduced conformation the program gives the corresponding phase angle and conformational energy content. From these data the energy profile for the pseudorotational circuit is readily drawn. This is shown for 18 in figure 1 ( $\phi_m = 48^\circ$ ). The crystal geometry of the compound corresponds to  $\phi_m = 44^\circ$ and  $\sqrt{ }$  = 219<sup>\*15</sup>. The deduced result by the program suggests here that a portion of the pseudorotational circuit is preferentially populated (cf.  $v \sim 180-270^{\circ}$ ). The bold line in the figure indicates the portion that is maintained when the tert-butyl group is considered as an anchoring substituent<sup>1</sup>.

The shown individual torsion angles may also be directly correlated with <sup>1</sup>H NMR coupling constant values. An almost trivial example is related with hysterin  $(19a)^{16}$ , to which the C-4 epimeric structure  $19b^{17}$  was originally assigned (scheme 4). The great majority of cyclopentane geometries in the final set of conformations for both 19a and 19b show an endocyclic torsion angle value at bond 3,4 comprised between +15° and +39°. The corresponding dihedral angle values between the hydrogen atoms at C-3 and the hydrogen at C-4 are shown in the scheme. Natural hysterin exhibits a triplet pattern (J = 9.2 Hz) for H-4 located at 5.13 ppm, which is only compatible with a 8-oriented acetoxygroup at C-4 (194). With regard to the geometry of the seven-membered ring the preferred conformation (93 % populated) corresponds to the 6-/TC form ( $\psi$  = 231), whereas the X-ray geometry<sup>18</sup> is the 7+/TC form ( $v = 206$ ). The latter conformation is predicted to be far less populated (6.7). The intermediate conformation in the seven-membered pseudorotation circuit, i.e.,  $10*/C$  ( $v = 219$ ) is much higher in energy. The energy profile suggested by this result would thus show two distinct wells, with at each well a particular portion of the cyclopentane circuit being

preferentially populated.



For the calculation of free energy terms the program considers a relative enthalpy and entropy term. The former represents the excess steric energy relative to the lowest energy basic conformation in the series. Consequently, the shown steric energies have no absolute meaning; the therefrom calculated conformer population distributions, however, are meaningful since they refer to the minimum energy conformation of the deduced set. In interpreting the results one should keep in mind that for the calculation of conformational energies some interactions have not been taken into account. Nonbonded interactions between substituents which are not vicinally located are not considered more destabilizing than the mere additive effect of the substituent contributions taken individually. One may reasonably expect that this simplified treatment will not lead to erroneous results in flexible systems, since very often these can adopt conformations in which the substituents can take less sterically demanding positions. In non flexible systems, however, the computed conformational energy values can be seriously underestimated. We consider the conformational equilibrium between the methyl substituted a- and b-cis-decalins 20-23. In table I the results of this method are compared with those obtained by the molecular-mechanics method of Allinger<sup>19</sup> and by the semiquantitative method developed by Corey in connection with the LHASA program<sup>20</sup>. Agreement is poor for  $\frac{22}{2}$  and even worse for  $\frac{20}{2}$ . This is not surprising since no destabilizing energy term is counted for the C-5/CH<sub>3</sub> interaction in 22a and for the C-5/CH<sub>3</sub> and  $C-7/CH_2$  interactions in 20a. The above limitation is not considered a very important one.







(1) The number of sterically demanding substituents (cf. axial orientation) at each face of the ring is included in the output for each deduced conformation (cf. Xb, Xa)<sup>1</sup>, so as to draw the attention of the user whenever such nonbonded interaction should be taken extra into account (Xb or  $Xa > 1$ ). (2) Interactions originating from substituents which are oriented within the concave side of a cyclic system are among those most easily recognized during a model inspection.

 $\pmb{\mathcal{L}}$ 

It is important to discuss here in some detail how the program deals with entropy. In the computation of the entropy the program considers the following terms. (1) The entropy-of-mixing-conformations term, -REN<sub>i</sub>lnN<sub>i</sub> where N<sub>i</sub> represents the mole fraction of each final deduced conformation that has a conformational energy less than 10 kJ/mol higher than the lowest energy form.

(2) An entropy-of-mixing-conformations term, Rln2, with regard to racemates (vide infra). (3) The entropy of symmetry,  $-R\ln c$ , where  $\sigma$  is the symmetrynumber. (4) A correction term. -Rkln2, where k represents the number of negative entropy contributions (vide infra). The program has not the capability to recognize symmetry. In first instance it also treats a cyclic system as being one enantiomer of a chiral compound. This is inherently coupled to the a, B-stereodesignation which is used for the configurational assignments<sup>11</sup>. More often than not, in practi**cal examples, the analyzed product is s racemate. Accordingly, the program alvays considers, next to the classical entropy-of-mixing tera (1). sn extra term Rln2 (2). Obviously, this is not**  warranted for optically active products or when the mirror-image forms are already comprised in the deduced set (cf. meso-type). In some special cases the program also deduces two conforma**tions which are in fsct identical and should therefore not be mixed. In practice the program**  first calculates the entropy according to terms (1) and (2) only  $(0 - 1, k - 0)$ . Subsequently, the result can be corrected by introduction of the appropriate symmetrynumber <sup>3</sup> and of the re**quired number k of -RlnZ contributions whenever the entropy-of-mixing is overestimatad. The case of dimcthylcyclohexanes illustrates the point (cable 2). For each of the isomers is shown the entropy-of-mixing that is first arrived at, and the corrections that need to be introduced;**  the latter are related to a symmetrynumber > 1 and to redundant mixing of conformations (meso and identical forms). E.g., for <u>cis</u>-1,4-dimethylcyclohexane chair forms <u>e</u> and **b** are the deduced pre ferred conformations. The program does not recognize their identity nor the presence of a sym**metry plane; consequently, two corrections need** to **be introduced (k - 2).** 

Table 2. Experimental and calculated entropies (J/K.mol) and enthalpy differences (kJ/mol) for <u>cis(c</u>)- and <u>trans(t</u>)-dimeth **hexancs** 

|                       | Entropy-of  | Entropy<br>Corrections |                           | Total         |               | ΔS                  | ۵Н     |            |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|------------|
|                       | mixing      | $\sigma^{\bf b}$       | $\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{c}}$ | <b>SCA</b>    | đ             | $Exp.$ <sup>e</sup> | Exp.   | <b>SCA</b> |
| $\frac{c-1}{t-1}$ , 2 | 11.5<br>5.8 | 2                      | (1,0)<br>(0, 0)           | 5.8<br>0.0    | 5.8<br>0.5    | 3.0                 | 7.8    | 8          |
| $\frac{c-1}{t-1}$ , 3 | 5.8<br>11.5 |                        | (1,0)<br>(0,1)            | 0.0<br>5.8    | 0.0<br>5.8    | $-5.2$              | $-8.2$ | -8         |
| $\frac{c-1}{t-1}$ , 4 | 11.5<br>5.8 | $\overline{c}$         | (1,1)<br>(1,0)            | 0.0<br>$-5.8$ | 0.0<br>$-5.6$ | 5.0                 | 7.9    | 8          |



 $^a$  According to  $-REN_1InN_1 + RIn2;$  <sup>b</sup> According to -RZN<sub>:</sub>lnN; + Rln2; <sup>\*</sup> Symmetrynumber, to be used in -Rlno<br>According to -Rkln2 : the first value refers to meso-type correction **the second vslue to the cssc of identical forms; d Sac ref. 21 (p. 56); e Ref. 22; f Ref. 21 (p. 53).** 

**The total resulting entropy obviously rejoins the value obtained by classics1 conformational**  treatment<sup>23</sup>, since the present method is only different with respect to the order in which the **different entropic contributions are considered. In practice only entropy differences ara rele**vant. And comparison between different species will only be meaningful when the other factors **which contribute to the total entropy (vibrstion, translation, rotation) are equal.** me **calcu**lated difference between <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane is for instance considera **larger than the experimental value becsuse interference vith methyl rotation is not accounted**  for. A fine agreement between calculated and experimental enthalpy differences is observed.

**In the above example we have dealt with the entropy of simple six-membered ring systems**  where only one or two rigid chair conformations were populated. For flexible systems the situation is generally much more complex. In general the program deduces here a large set of probable conformations, which are considered potential energy minimum forms and yield upon mixing an important entropy term. There is some experimental evidence for the soundness of **such a treatment. Fuchs has recently reported the thermodynamic parameters for the base cata**lyzed <u>cis-trans</u> equilibria of a series of dialkyl cyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxyl<mark>ates, an</mark>d concluded that the found positive entropy differences for the equilibria  $\underline{\text{cis}}$  +  $\underline{\text{trans}}$  (2-4 J/mol. apparently originate in a more variegated conformational population of the trans-isomers due to

## 3736 **P. J. DE CLERCO**

less restricted pseudorotation<sup>24</sup>. The difference in entropy-of-mixing terms between <u>cis</u>- and trans-1.3-dimethylcyclopentane computed by the program is 2 J/mol.K in accord with the above interpretation. It should be noted that in this calculation no entropy of symmetry is considered for the  $\underline{c}_2$  symmetrical conformations in the <u>trans</u>-series (15 X populated; c = 2); in the <u>cis</u>- series no correction is introduced : the deduced forms are of the meso-type but intermediate forms in the circuit Are not (the meso-correction would involve -Rln2).

Table 3. Relative entropy calculations for the equilibrium between bicyclooctanes  $24$ ,  $25$  and 26"

| Entropy calculation correct. <sup>C</sup> Entropy difference |  |                 |  |                   |            |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------|--|-------------------|------------|--|--|
|  |  |                 |  | $Mix.b$ o k Total | <b>SCA</b> | $Exp.$ <sup>d</sup>  |  |
|  |  |                 |  |                   |            | $24$ 6 6 1 -15 $24 \div 25$ 47 : 3 46 · 7  |  |
|  |  |                 |  |                   |            | 25 35 1-2 0-1 $\frac{35}{29}$ $\frac{e}{25} \div \frac{26}{26}$ -21 ± 6 -21 ± 3              |  |
| 26   |  | $14 \t1 \t 0-1$ |  |                   |            | $\frac{14}{9}$ $\frac{e}{124}$ $\frac{1}{26}$ $\frac{26}{26}$ $\frac{26}{3}$ $\frac{23}{10}$ |  |



<sup>a</sup> In J/K.mol; <sup>b</sup> According to  $-REN_1 \ln N_1 + R\ln 2$  with  $\sigma$ k = 0; <sup>c</sup> See text; <sup>d</sup> Ref. 25; <sup>e</sup> Maximum value; <sup>f</sup> Minimum value.

An impressive illustration of the entropy treatment is related to the Lewis acid catalyzed equilibrium between the bicyclooctanes 24, 25 and 26<sup>25</sup>. The experimentally found entropy diff rences (25<sup>o</sup>C) for the equilibria  $24 \div 25$ ,  $25 \div 26$  and  $24 \div 26$  are shown in table 3, together with the calculated entropies for the three products. Only one conformation is deduced for 24 with both six-membered rings in a regular  $C_{2y}$  boat conformation ( $z = 6$ , meso-form). Ninety four combinations are found populated for cia-fused 25 leading to a maximum entropy-of-mixing term of  $34.9$  J/mol.K. Several among these conformations, however, have a  $c$  2, and although the mesotype forms are not expected to contribute much in a decrease of entropy (vide supra), a minimum entropy-of-mixing term (34.9 - Rln2) is also considered. The three conformations found for 26 arise from small variations in the five-membered ring geometry. Since the preferred conformation (53 % populated) possesses a symmetry plane a maximum correction of -Rln2 is considered leading to an entropy value comprised between 14.2 and 8.4 J/mol.K. The therefrom calculated differences for the three corresponding equilibria compare remarkably well with the experimental values.

After discussing the relative enthalpies and entropies we will consider now the calculation of the free energy terms which are necessary for the prediction of equilibria compositions. The enthalpy term computed by the program being a relative steric energy value, one is only allowed to compare differences in free energy between cyclic compounds which possess identical reference basic conformations. This is the case for configurational isomers. We will consider now the equilibria between the  $\underline{\text{cis}}$ - and <u>trans</u>-fused perhydroazulenes <u>27-30</u>. The base catalyzed equil brium compositions At 25'C (methanol-benzene solution) were determined by House And Are shown in table  $4^{26}$ ,  $27$ . House also defined the low-energy conformers for each of the isomeric perhydroazulenes via force field calculations using Allinger's NM2 program<sup>28</sup>. The computational proc dure involved the assembly of Dreiding molecular models for all conformations suggested by our previously reported manual method for systematic conformational analysis<sup>29</sup>. Atom coordinates obtained from these models were entered as initial coordinates for energy minimization. In every case one or more conformations had calculated steric energies 10 kJ/mol lower than calculated energies for other reasonable conformations. The free energy differences that one may calculate from the reported steric energy values and from the corresponding entropy-of-mixing terms, however, indicate equilibria that would be in favor of the <u>cis</u>-fused derivatives, 2-3 kJ/mol, except in the case of <u>28a</u> + <u>28b</u>. This stands in contrast with the experiment which shows the trans-fused compounds to be thermodynamically favored in every case. For the same cquilibria this program yielded the thermodynamic parameters shown in table 4. In every case is the trans-fused perhydroazulene predicted to be more stable (AG° negative). A quantitative



 $J/$ mol.K;  $^b$  Ref. 26;  $^c$  Ref. 27.

comparison between the calculated and experimental values show that one should allow for deviations in energy of at least : 5 kJ/mol. Finally, the fair agreement that is noted here between calculated and experimental values is also an indication that the conformational energy values used by the program for cycloheptanone are very reliable<sup>30</sup>. Crystal structures of appropriate crystalline derivatives of  $27a$ ,  $27b$ ,  $28a$ ,  $28b$  and  $29a$  have also been obtained<sup>26</sup>,<sup>27</sup>. In every case, except for 28a, does the deduced preferred conformation for the seven-membered ring - or a directly adjacent conformation in the pseudorotation circuit - correspond to the X-ray geometry. The observed 4+/TB conformation for the cycloheptanone in 28a was found by the program to be populated to a minor extent  $(2 2)$ .

 $30<sub>1</sub>$ 

 $R_1$  = H;  $R_2$  = t-Bu;  $R_3$  = H

An important limitation of the program is related to the analysis of cyclic skeletons which can be represented by a closed graph. To obtain the graph individual cycles are represented by points, and points corresponding to cycles that have bonds in common are connected by lines. The threecyclic system 32 corresponds to a closed graph situation; 31, however, does not. Parenthetically, upon analysis of 31 the program will find the six-membered ring too constrained for further evaluation indicating a cyclic system that cannot be assembled by a molecular model.



The analysis of a cyclic system like 32 is thwarted because it is difficult to introduce unambiguously the correct torsion constraints in each individual cycle. If one only considers the three cis-fusions in 32 the program deduces a preferred set of mainly irrelevant conformations which cannot be assembled by a molecular model. This is not surprising in view of the constraints that were considered for the six-membered ring at bonds 1,2 and 1,4 (two separate cis-fusions with five-membered rings), whereas the real situation implies a fusion with a bicyclo 3.3.0 octane at two adjacent bonds. It is tempting to solve this problem by considering a second constraint at the six-membered ring bonds 1,2 and 1,4, i.e., a bridging with an eight-membered ring. However, when dealing with bridgings with large rings (> seven-membered) one also needs to consider the following pitfall. We consider the bicyclic system  $33$  where  $9$  is the endocyclic torsion angle in the six-membered ring at bond 1,2 and I the corresponding angle in the bridging cycle. The maximum attainable torsion angle in a six-membered ring is 80°; we will assume here for the bridging cycle a  $\phi_{\text{max}}$  value of 160° ( $\phi_{\text{max}}$  for an eight-membered cycle is 150°)<sup>11</sup>. Since here  $1 = 9-120^{\circ}$ ,  $\phi$  may adopt the following values : -80<sup>\*</sup>, from -40<sup>\*</sup> to 0<sup>\*</sup>, and from 0<sup>\*</sup> to +80<sup>\*</sup>.

#### **3738 P. J. DE CLERCO**

In this treatment, however, the configuration at C-4 is not taken into account. Inspection of a **model shows that the 1,2-bond in the six-membered ring of 33s can adopt the values**  $-40^{\circ}$  **to**  $+80^{\circ}$ **while only the values -80' and 40' are possible at the same bond in 33b. Consequently, such cases should be treated with extreme care. If one wishes CO analyze such syscews with the pre**sent program the following procedure should be used : (1) as first constraint is introduced the **fusion; (2) the second constraint should consist of the minimum and maximum torsion angle values as deduced from a prior model inspection.** 

Notwithstanding some limitations the SCA program should be of considerable use with respect **Co SuBBesting rapid answers Co a variety of problems in a ready and reliable way. Specifically, it should help in the prediction of the stereochemical outcome of steric approach controlled re**actions, in the prediction of the composition of isomerization equilibria and in the qualitative **evaluation of strain in some pre-transition state geometries. The results pertaining to the geo**metry and energy of conformations are shown in a way appropriate to the direct needs of the user. **The inclusion of conformational parameters allow for the drawing of energy profiles, which leads to a better understanding of the conformstional behavior of a cyclic system. The way the program deals with entropy may have important implications especially for flexible ring systems.** 

**Obviously, this program does not intend to replace wlecular models or energy minimization techniques. Rather it should be considered as a complementary tool to both methoda. Finally, even vithin the present limits of the system, there is certainly room for improvement especially**  with regard to certain conformational energy terms and interactions that have hitherto not been **accounted for.** 

**Acknowledgements : The National Fund for Scientific Research and the Flinistaric voor Uetcnschapstelled are thanked for financial assirtance co the laboratory.** 

#### **References**

- **1. P.J. De Clercq, Tetrahedron, preceding paper in this issue.**
- **2. L.A. Van Royen. R. Yijngheer and P.J. De Clercq, Tetrahedron Lect., 2, 3283 (1982).**
- **3. Ibid., 3165 (1983).**
- 4. L.A. Van Royen, P.J. De Clercq, to be publish
- **5. D.C. Patterson. C. Djerassi, Y. Yuh and N.L. Allinger, J. Org. Chem. 62, 2365 (1977).**
- **6. P.A. Crieco, Y. Ohfune, C.F. tlajetich. C.-L. J. Wang. J. Am. Them. Sot.. 104. 6233 (1982).**
- **7. P. Kok. P.J. De Clercq. M.E. Vandeualle, J. Org. Chem. %, C553 (1979).**
- **8. E. foromanoff. Tetrahedron 6, 2809 (1980); sac p. 2878.**
- **9. J. Dewanckele, F. Zurcermsn and f4. Vandewalle. Tetrahedron 2, 3235 (1983) and references cited therein.**
- 10. S. Danishefsky, K. Vaughan, R.C. Gadwood, K. Tsuzuki, and J. Springer, Tetrahedron Lett. **2625 (1980); S. Daniehefnky, K. Vaughan. R.C. Cadwood, and K. Truruki, J. Am. Chem. Sot. 102, 4262 (1980).**
- **11. P.J. De Clercq. Tetrahedron 2, 6277 (1981).**
- **12. From the corresponding p-bromo benzenesulfonate ester : S.M. Kupchan, R.J. Hemingway**
- **D. Werner. A. Karim. A.T. HcPhail, and C.A. Sim, J. Am. Chem. Sot.. W, 3596 (1968).**
- 13. J.E. Kilpatrick, K.S. Pitzer, R. Spitzer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>69</u>, 2483 (1947
- **14. C. Altona. H..J. Ceise, and C. Rowrs. Tetrahedron E, 13 (196n.**
- **15. D. Van Haver, h. Samson. !I. Vandeualle. Tetrahedron 2, 255 (1977).**
- **16. F4. Demuynck. P. De Clercq, fl. Vandewallc. J. Org. Chem. bb. 6863 (1979).**
- **17. A. Rome de Vivar. E.A. Bratocff. T. Rfor. J. Org. Chem. 2, 673 (1966).**  18. J.P. Declercq, G. Germain, M. Van Meerssche, M. Demuynck. P. De Clercq, and M. Vandewalle,
- **Acta Cryst.. 836, 213 (1980). 19. N.L. Allinger. J. Am. Chem. Sot. 2, 8127 (1977).**
- **20. E.J. Corey, ti.F. Feiner. J. Org. Chem. 2, 757, 765 (1980).**
- **21. E.L. Eliel, N.L. Allinger. S.J. Angyal, C.A. ?torrison. "COnfOmtiOnal Analysis", Wiley. Keu York (1965).**
- **22. H.U. Huffman, S.S. Todd, and C.D. Oliver, J. Am. Chem. SOC. 2. 58G (1949).**
- **23. See ref. 21, p. 23. 50.**
- **2L. B. Fuchs, P.S. Uechsler. J. Chem. Sot., Perkin II. 75 (1977).**
- **25. P.v.R. Schleyer, K.R. Blanchard. and C.D. Woody. J. Am. Chcm. Sot. 85. 1358 (1963).**
- 26. H.O. House, C.-C. Yau, and D. VanDerveer, J. Org. Chem. 44, 3031 (1979).
- 27. H.O. House, P.C. Gaa, and D. VanDerveer, J. Org. Chem. 48, 1661, 1670 (1983<br>28. For a review, see : N.L. Allinger, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 13, 1 (1976).
- 
- **29. P.J. De Clercq. J. OrR.** Chem. 2. **667 (1981).**
- **30. D.F. Bocian. H.L. Strauss, J. Chem. Phys. 67, 1071 (1977).**